Tariffs and Talent: The Global Science Shake-up and India's Opportunity

Punit Kumar[a], Sanjeev Kumar Varshney[b]
[a]Department of Physics, University of Lucknow, Lucknow, India
[b]Former Head, International Cooperation, Department of Science and Technology, Ministry of Science and Technology, New Delhi, India
E-mail: kumar_punit@lkouniv.ac.in; skvdst@yahoo.com

The deep entanglement of economics, science, and technology increasingly defines the twenty-first century. For much of the recent past, globalisation promised an unhindered flow of ideas, talent, and investment across borders, creating an interconnected research ecosystem. Yet the rise of tariff wars, particularly between the U.S. and its key trading partners, has exposed the fragility of that promise. While tariffs are often imposed as protective measures for domestic industries, their ripple effects extend far beyond commerce, seeping into the domains of scientific funding, international collaboration, and the circulation of knowledge. In the U.S., the convergence of geopolitical tensions and economic protectionism has coincided with reductions in funding for its premier science agencies, such as the National Science Foundation (NSF) and the National Institutes of Health (NIH). Coupled with shrinking allocations for education, these constraints have unsettled the global scientific community. Ongoing projects have faced abrupt suspension, graduate students and postdoctoral fellows find themselves confronting dwindling opportunities, and the long-standing perception of the U.S. as the undisputed hub of scientific talent is being challenged. At the same time, Europe and other regions are actively attempting to draw in researchers disillusioned by policy uncertainty in America, offering more stable funding and collaborative prospects. Within this shifting landscape, India is presented with a unique opportunity to not only stem the outflow of its own talent but to emerge as a global destination for cutting-edge science. The crucial question is whether India can create the right conditions to attract and retain international researchers, thereby reshaping its position in the evolving global knowledge order.

Tariff Wars and the U.S. Science Funding Crunch

At first glance, tariff wars appear to be confined to trade and economics, but their ripple effects extend far beyond import–export balances and market competitiveness. The chain of consequences reveals a direct link between tariffs and the health of science and technology ecosystems. Higher tariffs on imports drive up domestic prices, fuel inflationary pressures, and create fiscal imbalances for governments. In response, administrations often resort to budget realignments, channelling resources toward politically pressing or short-term economic needs while trimming allocations to areas that do not deliver immediate

returns. Unfortunately, research and education are often seen as expendable under such fiscal stress, making them vulnerable to cuts during financial turbulence.

In the U.S., two of the most critical pillars of science funding, NSF and NIH, stand at the centre of this storm. Together, these agencies support over $80 billion annually in basic and applied science and engineering research, ranging from physics and computing to biomedicine and public health. Yet, amid tariff-induced fiscal pressures, reports already point to proposals in Washington DC, aimed at scaling back NSF's grants for basic sciences and reducing NIH's expansive biomedical research budgets substantially. The consequences of such decisions would be immediate and severe. University laboratories that depend heavily on federal research grants could face abrupt shutdowns. Carefully designed experiments may be abandoned mid-course, wasting years of accumulated data and painstakingly built research infrastructure. Recruitment freezes and stalled projects would leave graduate students stranded, especially those whose stipends and research continuity rely entirely on external funding.

Postdoctoral researchers, a backbone of American science who usually work under short-term contracts, would find themselves in even more precarious situations. With fewer grant renewals and shrinking institutional budgets, their positions could vanish overnight, creating a talent crisis in both academia and industry. Beyond the individual level, the broader innovation ecosystem would also suffer. Industry–academia collaborations, often catalysed by NSF and NIH support, would weaken, reducing the pace of technological development and commercialisation. Such a slowdown risks eroding the competitive edge of the U.S. in emerging areas like artificial intelligence, quantum technologies, biotechnology, renewable energy and other emerging and critical technologies.

The psychological toll on young researchers is another dimension of this funding crunch. PhD students, already navigating a demanding and uncertain career path, may lose morale as fellowships will dry up and pathways to stable academic or industrial jobs may become narrow. The allure of science as a long-term career weakens when students witness labs closing and mentors struggling for resources. Even the newly imposed fee on H-1B work visas adds to the prevailing uncertainty. All of this creates a pipeline problem, where fewer bright minds may choose to pursue scientific training in the future.

International collaborations also stand at risk. The U.S. has historically played a leading role in multinational research projects, from particle physics at CERN to global climate studies, vaccine development, and the Thirty Meter Telescope (TMT). With U.S. commitments shrinking, the collaborative balance could tilt, leaving gaps that other nations must scramble to fill. This is not merely an American crisis, but one that reverberates across the global scientific community. Laboratories abroad that depend on U.S. partnerships may find themselves underfunded or technologically isolated. The erosion of America's scientific presence thus threatens to destabilise the interconnected web of global knowledge production, slowing the pace of discovery at precisely the time when humanity faces complex, borderless challenges such as climate change, pandemics, and energy transitions.

In essence, tariff wars have unleashed an economic chain reaction that places science and technology in the crosshairs. What may begin as fiscal adjustments on trade policy ends up undermining the very foundation of innovation, jeopardising not only U.S. leadership in science, but also the vitality of the global research ecosystem.

Impact on Bilateral Scientific Cooperation

With massive cuts in NSF and NIH funding, bilateral scientific cooperation programs between India and the U.S. will suffer badly. During the Biden Administration, two countries made several arrangements for cooperation in emerging and critical technologies (iCET) , like quantum technologies, communication, defence technologies, biotechnologies, space exploration, etc. Recently, ISRO and NASA launched a joint expedition, NISAR, but the implementation of future studies remains a question. Similarly, the Vaccine Action Program in India, supported by NIH, could be badly affected.

The European Magnet: Shifting Research Flows

For decades, the U.S. has been the undisputed global hub of science and technology, drawing talent from India, China, Latin America, and Europe. Nearly 40 per cent of postdoctoral researchers in the U.S. are foreign-born, a testament to its historically open research ecosystem and generous funding models. However, this dominance is now under strain. Visa restrictions, unpredictable immigration policies, and deep cuts in funding to institutions such as the NSF and NIH create an atmosphere of uncertainty. Ongoing projects may face abrupt stoppages, while PhD students and postdocs are increasingly anxious about their future career pathways.

In this shifting landscape, Europe has quickly positioned itself as the next magnet for displaced talent. The European Union's Horizon Europe Program, with its massive €95.5 billion budget for 2021–2027, is among the most ambitious research frameworks globally. Simultaneously, national research institutions such as Germany's Max Planck Society, France's CNRS, and the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO) offer structured fellowships and long-term postdoctoral opportunities. Even smaller countries like Switzerland and Denmark, with R&D spending between 3–4 per cent of GDP, are leveraging their strong science funding to absorb skilled researchers. As a result, graduate students who once dreamt of joining MIT or Stanford are increasingly applying to ETH Zurich, TU Munich, and other European institutes.

Yet Europe, despite its strengths, cannot accommodate the entire wave of displaced talent. Bureaucratic complexities, linguistic hurdles, and the resurgence of nationalist politics pose challenges. These limitations create a strategic opening for India to emerge as an alternative hub for global research talent.

India at the Crossroads: From Brain Drain to Brain Gain?

For decades, India has grappled with the challenge of "brain drain," as its brightest minds often migrated to the U.S. and other advanced economies in search of better research facilities, greater funding opportunities, and more lucrative careers. Ironically, the present financial strain in the U.S. gives India an unexpected chance to reverse this historical trend. The key question now is whether India can bring back its own researchers and emerge as a global hub capable of attracting scientists from across the world.

India's scientific and technological landscape offers a combination of strengths and challenges. On the positive side, the country benefits from a vast and youthful population with strong capabilities in STEM disciplines. Over the past two decades, India has also built a robust and diversified R&D ecosystem, with achievements in areas such as space exploration through ISRO, pharmaceutical innovation, digital technology, and clean energy. Policy frameworks like the National Education Policy (NEP 2020) and the Science, Technology, and Innovation Policy (under consideration of the Government) demonstrate a long-term vision for integrating research, education, and innovation. Complementing these efforts are flagship programs such as Make in India, Startup India, and Digital India, which are fostering a vibrant entrepreneurial and innovation-driven environment. India recently announced a new fund of Rs 1 lakh crores for investment in R&D by the private sector in sunrise technology areas. This will allow researchers to be absorbed besides academic and scientific institutes. These initiatives highlight India's ambition to position itself as a global science and technology powerhouse.

Yet, the weaknesses are equally visible. India's R&D spending, at just 0.7% of GDP, lags far behind global leaders such as the U.S., China, and South Korea. Institutional bottlenecks, bureaucratic hurdles, and inflexible structures often slow progress and discourage young talent. Funding opportunities for postdoctoral researchers and early-career scientists remain limited, making it difficult to sustain momentum. Moreover, Indian universities and research institutions still lack the global visibility and branding that could make them truly competitive on the world stage.

To transform this scenario, India must see its challenges as avenues for reform. India can seize this moment by investing more aggressively in R&D, streamlining institutional processes, expanding opportunities for young researchers, and enhancing its universities' international reputation. If executed strategically, this shift could not only stem the outflow of talent but also draw global researchers to India, heralding a new era of "brain gain."

What India Needs to Do: Policy and Strategy Roadmap

In the wake of shifting global research dynamics, India has an opportunity to position itself as a new hub for displaced scientists and international talent. The first step in this direction would be the creation of a National Science Talent Mission (NSTAM) dedicated to attracting researchers worldwide who may face uncertainties due to shrinking budgets in the US and elsewhere. Much like the Marie Curie Fellowships in Europe, India could design competitive fellowship schemes that provide generous financial support, while also offering tenure-track opportunities in leading universities and research institutes, not only for Indians but for global researchers. To make this transition smoother, fast-track visas, relocation support, and family assistance must be embedded into the program, ensuring India becomes a viable alternative for global scientific careers.

Simultaneously, India can foster Global Research Clusters in key innovation cities such as Bengaluru, Hyderabad, Pune, and Delhi. These hubs should function with autonomous governance structures to minimise bureaucratic hurdles, while securing joint funding from both the government and the private sector. Equipped with cutting-edge laboratories, AI-driven computing resources, clean rooms, and collaborative infrastructure, these clusters can serve as magnets for international collaborations and multidisciplinary research.

Another vital strategy lies in expanding public–private partnerships (PPP) to reduce overdependence on government funding. India can build a sustainable and dynamic research ecosystem by encouraging leading IT firms, pharmaceutical companies, and energy conglomerates to co-invest in scientific innovation. For instance, large corporations such as Infosys or Reliance could drive AI and renewable energy advancements. At the same time, organisations like the Serum Institute might spearhead biomedical and vaccine research in collaboration with academic institutions.

A long-term solution also requires reforming higher education to make Indian universities globally attractive. Greater institutional autonomy for IITs, IISc, IISERs, AIIMS, and central universities will empower them to innovate in governance and academic design. Dual degree programs with global universities, flexible research fellowships, and competitive living stipends comparable to those in Europe or East Asia will help attract international students and postdoctoral researchers.

Finally, India must leverage its vast scientific diaspora and attract researchers worldwide. Many Indian-origin researchers in the US and Europe hold senior positions in labs and industries. Targeted programs encouraging their return through leadership roles, assured funding, and startup incubation support can convert the ongoing "brain drain" into a powerful "reverse brain gain," reinforcing India's global scientific standing.

Why Global Researchers Might Choose India

Although sceptics often point out that India continues to lag in certain aspects of research infrastructure, there are strong and increasingly persuasive reasons why global researchers might choose to work in India, provided that key policy gaps are addressed. One of the foremost advantages lies in the cost of living. Unlike the US or Europe, where research funds are quickly exhausted, India allows the same funding to be stretched significantly further, enabling researchers to support larger teams, conduct longer experiments, and pursue broader lines of inquiry without constant financial strain.

Equally important is the diversity and uniqueness of research problems available in India. The country's vast and varied landscape presents unparalleled opportunities for interdisciplinary study in areas such as public health, climate change, agriculture, and renewable energy. For example, India's demographic diversity and environmental challenges offer natural laboratories for developing solutions that can be scaled globally.

Adding to this is India's growing geopolitical stature. As a leader of the Global South and an active participant in international science diplomacy initiatives such as CERN, ITER, SKA, and, bilateral collaboration (with more than 80 countries) as well several multilateral collaborations, including G-20, BRICS, Indian Ocean Rim Association, Shanghai Cooperation Organisation, ASEAN, India offers an environment where research is not only about scientific advancement but also about shaping global policy and cooperation. With several steps taken to improve the Ease of Doing Research, India has significantly risen in the Global Innovation Index. India's cultural openness and long tradition of welcoming scholars from across civilisations provide a strong foundation for reframing its academic and scientific appeal in the 21st century, making it a fertile destination for global talent.

Risks and Challenges for India

India's ambition to attract global researchers must be tempered by an awareness of the challenges ahead. One of the biggest hurdles lies in bureaucratic complexity — grant approvals, fund disbursements, and institutional red tape often move at a frustratingly slow and opaque pace. Such delays can dissuade international scholars accustomed to faster, more efficient and transparent systems.

Another concern is the delicate balance between brain circulation and brain capture. While India may succeed in attracting researchers, many could view it as a temporary stop before moving on to resource-rich environments, leading to instability in long-term knowledge creation.

Equity also remains a pressing concern. If foreign researchers are offered significantly better funding, salaries, or privileges than domestic scientists, resentment could weaken morale within India's research communities. Simultaneously, persistent infrastructure gaps pose a structural barrier. High-end research demands cutting-edge laboratories, reliable power, and stable long-term funding in areas where India still performs unevenly. Without addressing these systemic shortcomings, even the most well-intentioned policies risk being cosmetic. To truly emerge as a global research hub, India must commit to deep, sustained, and structural reforms.

The Way Forward: India as a Science Diplomacy Leader

The path ahead for India lies in approaching global talent mobility not merely as a matter of absorbing researchers but as an opportunity to advance science diplomacy. By positioning itself as an inclusive and collaborative research hub, India can leverage this moment to strengthen its role in the international knowledge ecosystem. A key step in this direction would be the development of South–South research partnerships. Many African and Latin American scholars today face shrinking opportunities due to funding constraints in the U.S. and Europe. With its growing scientific infrastructure and cultural affinity with the Global South, India can offer an alternative platform for collaboration, training, and joint innovation.

Equally important is India's potential leadership in addressing global challenges that transcend national borders. From combating climate change and advancing renewable energy technologies to preparing for future pandemics, India has both the scientific expertise and moral credibility to drive collective action. By aligning its initiatives with the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), India can attract broader international support and funding while reinforcing its image as a responsible global actor. In an increasingly polarised geopolitical landscape, India has the unique opportunity to project itself as a neutral, trustworthy hub for global research cooperation.

From Crisis to Opportunity

The ongoing tariff war and the resulting reduction in U.S. science funding mark a profound realignment in the global research landscape. For researchers in America, the atmosphere is filled with uncertainty and unease. In Europe, the situation is being used as an opportunity to attract talent and strengthen its knowledge systems. For India, however, this disruption presents not just a challenge but potentially a defining moment of transformation.

If India responds with bold and strategic reforms, establishing world-class research clusters, offering globally competitive fellowship programs, strengthening engagement with its scientific diaspora, and fostering funding partnerships through public–private collaboration, it can shift its role from a traditional exporter of talent to an attractive global destination for scientific research.

The migration of researchers is not simply determined by the availability of visas or salary packages; it is shaped by the strength of ecosystems that ensure trust, stability, resources, and long-term opportunities. Should India succeed in building such an enabling environment, the present turbulence caused by tariff wars could become the catalyst for a historic transformation: positioning India as a central hub for global science and innovation in the 21st century.