Journal of Intellectual Property Rights
Vol 29, March 2024, pp 103-108
DOI: 10.56042/jipr.v29i2.1205

Navigating the Grey Area: Copyright Implications of AI Generated Content

Vishnu S[†]

Legal Department, Cochin Shipyard Limited, Perumanoor, Ernakulam — 682 015, Kerala, India

Received: 6th May 2023; revised:19th January 2024

Emergence of artificial intelligence (AI) has resulted in an enormous volume of content created by machines. This article explores the copyright implications of AI-generated content and the legal challenges that arise in determining authorship, ownership, and liability. It analyses the current copyright laws and their application to AI-generated content, discusses the challenges in determining authorship and ownership, and investigates how AI-generated content could influence the development of copyright law in the future. The paper also provides examples of legal cases related to AI-generated content and their outcomes. Finally, the paper offers recommendations for dealing with the copyright issues raised by AI-generated content, including the importance of establishing clear copyright guidelines, promoting transparency and accountability in the development of AI technology, and engaging in continued legal and policy dialogues about these concerns.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, AI-Generated Content, Copyright Law, Authorship, Ownership, Liability, Legal Challenges, Copyrightability, Transparency, Accountability

Artificial intelligence (AI) has revolutionized many industries by automating tasks that were once exclusively performed by humans. One area where AI has made significant strides is in content creation, where machine learning algorithms are being used to generate everything from news articles to music compositions.[1] However, the rise of AI-generated content raises a number of important questions about copyright law. Copyright law exists to protect original works of authorship, granting creators the exclusive right to use and distribute their creations.[2] But when it comes to content created by machines, determining authorship and ownership becomes much more complicated.[3] This article will explore the copyright implications of AI-generated content and the challenges in applying traditional copyright law to these works. The article will also analyse how AI-generated content could affect copyright law in the future, and bring attention to some recent legal cases concerning this matter.

Role of machine learning in AI content creation

AI-generated content is created using machine learning algorithms, which are designed to analyse extensive data sets and generate new content based on patterns and trends found in the data.[4] Machine learning algorithms can be broadly classified into two categories: supervised learning and unsupervised learning.

Supervised learning algorithms are trained on labelled datasets, which means that the data is already classified or categorized.[5] The algorithm uses this labelled data to learn how to generate new content that is similar to the examples in the dataset.[6] For example, a supervised learning algorithm can be trained on a dataset of news articles to generate new articles based on specific topics or keywords.

Unsupervised learning algorithms are trained on datasets that are not labelled.[7] These algorithms use techniques such as clustering and dimensionality reduction to find patterns in the data and generate new content based on those patterns.[8] For example, an unsupervised learning algorithm can be used to generate new music compositions based on patterns found in existing compositions.

The role of machine learning algorithms in creating AI-generated content is to process vast quantity of data and generate new content that is similar to or inspired by that data.[9] These algorithms use complex mathematical models to recognize patterns and relationships in the data, and then generate new content based on those patterns.[10] While the algorithms themselves do not have creative intent or agency, they are capable of creating content that can be very similar to human-created works, raising questions about authorship and ownership in copyright law.[11]

[†]Email: adv.vishnus@gmail.com

Copyright Law and AI-Generated Content

Copyright Law grants creators the exclusive right to use and distribute their original works of authorship. However, applying traditional copyright law to AI-generated content is complicated due to the question of who owns the rights to content created by machines.[12] In most jurisdictions, Copyright Law does not recognize machines as authors, and thus, the legal status of AI-generated content remains unclear.[13]

Under current Copyright Laws, the creator or author of a work is generally considered to be the person who made creative decisions and exerted human judgment in the creation of the work.[14] In the case of AI-generated content, the question of authorship becomes more complex, as the machine learning algorithms that generate the content are designed to make decisions and judgments based on patterns and data, rather than creative intent.[15]

One potential approach to addressing the issue of authorship and ownership of AI-generated content is to consider the role of human input in the content creation process.[16] For example, if a human provides guidance or supervision to the machine learning algorithm during the content creation process, they may be considered the author or co-author of the resulting work.

In recent years, some countries have taken steps to address the issue of AI-generated content in copyright law. For example, the European Union's Copyright Directive includes provisions that aim to clarify the legal status of AI-generated works and ensure that they are appropriately attributed and compensated.[17]

Authorship and Ownership of AI-Generated Content

AI-generated content may be created by machines, but the data and algorithms used to create the content may belong to humans or organizations. This raises questions about who holds the copyright for the content generated by AI. In cases where the AI system is owned by a company or individual, they may be deemed the owner of the copyright. However, in cases where the AI system is trained on existing copyrighted material, determining ownership can be more complex.[18]

One of the primary challenges is the lack of a clear line between human and machine input in the content creation process.[19] This makes it difficult to determine who made the creative decisions and exerted human judgment in the creation of the work.To address these challenges, it is important to establish clear guidelines on copyrightability for AI-generated content. This will require collaboration between legal experts and technology developers to identify best practices for protecting copyright in the era of AI-generated content.[12]

Encouraging more transparency and accountability in the development and application of AI systems for content creation is crucial.[20] This includes ensuring that content generated by AI is properly attributed and that all necessary licenses and permissions are obtained.

The question of whether AI-generated content qualifies as a "work of authorship" is indeed a significant challenge in determining copyright implications.[21] While some argue that AI-generated content can be considered a form of human expression, others argue that it lacks the human creativity and originality necessary to qualify for copyright protection. This raises concerns about the scope of copyright law and the ability of AI-generated content to be protected under it.

Copyright Law in most jurisdictions mandates that a human author must create a work, which may exclude AI-generated content from copyright protection altogether.[22] In the United States, for example, the Copyright Office has issued a statement indicating that it will not register works created by non-human authors, including AI-generated content. This suggests that AI-generated content may not be considered a "work of authorship" under US copyright law.[23] Similarly, the European Union's Copyright Directive includes a provision stating that copyright protection does not extend to works created by a "non-human" authors.[24]

In the Indian legal context, there is ongoing debate about whether AI can be considered the author of a creative work, as there is no clear precedent or policy from the Indian Copyright Office on the matter. An incident in 2020 highlighted this issue, where an application attributing sole authorship to an AI (RAGHAV) was rejected, but another application naming both a human and the AI as co-authors was later granted, without a clear explanation.[25]

The debate revolves around whether AI generates genuinely original content or simply modifies existing data. The Copyright Act of 1957 requires a certain level of creativity for protection[26], and it states that a "work" must be authored by a "person" to qualify for copyright protection. However, there is no clear

definition of "person" in the Copyright Act, and AI lacks legal personality in India under current statutes. This legal ambiguity presents challenges in determining authorship and copyright protection for AI-generated content.

Additionally, AI-generated content may draw on multiple sources of data, including copyrighted works, making it difficult to determine whether the content infringes on the rights of other creators.[27] For example, an AI-generated news article may include quotes or information from copyrighted news articles, raising questions about fair use and the use of copyrighted works in derivative works.

Finally, the speed and scale at which AI-generated content can be produced presents challenges for traditional copyright law.[28] With machines capable of generating vast amounts of content in a short period of time, the traditional system of copyright registration and enforcement may beill-equipped to handle the volume and complexity of AI-generated content.[29]

Implications of Content Generated by AI on the Future of Copyright Law

The rise of AI-generated content has the potential to significantly impact the future of Copyright Law, both in terms of how it is enforced and how it is interpreted. As AI-generated content becomes more prevalent, it has the potential to significantly impact the future of Copyright Law, for example:

Redefining Authorship and Ownership

The rise of AI-generated content has introduced a new set of challenges to the traditional concepts of authorship and ownership in Copyright Law. With AI systems increasingly assisting human authors in creating works, it becomes difficult to determine the level of involvement of both humans and machines in the creative process.[30]

One potential solution is to consider co-authorship, in which both human authors and AI systems are recognized as contributors to a single work.[31] This would require legal adjustments and new frameworks that acknowledge the role of AI in content creation.

Another potential approach is to consider joint ownership, which would recognize both human authors and AI systems as co-owners of the work.[32] This would give both parties a claim to the copyright and the ability to license or sell the work.Regardless of the chosen framework, the emergence of AI-generated content calls for a re-evaluation of copyright law and the development of new legal frameworks that can accommodate the unique challenges posed by this new form of content creation.[33] Collaboration between policymakers, legal experts, and technology developers is necessary to ensure that copyright law remains relevant and effective in an increasingly AI-driven creative landscape.

Changes to Fair Use and Derivative Works

As AI-generated content becomes increasingly sophisticated, it is likely that it will incorporate elements of existing copyrighted works[4]. This raises questions about the extent to which such works can be considered fair use under copyright law. For instance, an AI-generated music composition that includes samples or riffs from existing songs may be considered a derivative work and potentially infringe on the original copyright owner's rights.

Determining whether such use is fair use can be challenging, as it requires a case-by-case analysis of the specific facts and circumstances involved.[34]The four elements that determine fair use, including the purpose and character of the use, the nature of the copyrighted work, the amount and substantiality of the portion used, and the effect on the potential market, may require re-evaluation when considering AI-generated content. [35]

Additionally, the use of copyrighted material to train AI systems may also raise questions about fair use.[36] While using copyrighted works to train AI models may be considered fair use under certain circumstances, the use of large amounts of copyrighted material without permission could be problematic.[37]

As AI-generated content continues to evolve, it is important for copyright law to adapt and evolve as well. Legal frameworks that consider the unique characteristics of AI-generated works and the ways in which they incorporate existing copyrighted material will be necessary to ensure that copyright law remains relevant and effective in the face of this new technology.[38]

New Forms of Infringement

The emergence of AI-generated content raises concerns about the ease with which infringing works can be created and disseminated.[39] With the ability to produce vast amounts of content in a short amount of time, AI could facilitate the creation of large-scale infringing works that can be distributed globally with

little effort.[40] This poses a significant challenge for copyright owners, who may struggle to detect and enforce their rights in the face of such mass infringement.

Moreover, the potential for AI-generated content to replicate existing works with high accuracy raises the question of whether traditional copyright enforcement mechanisms are adequate to address these new forms of infringement.[41] The rapid pace of technological development and the increasing sophistication of AI also raise the possibility that infringing works could be created with greater speed and efficiency[42] than ever before, making it more challenging for copyright owners to detect and take action against them.

As such, there is a need for new legal remedies to address the unique challenges posed by AI-generated content. This may include the development of new technological solutions, such as AI-powered copyright detection and enforcement tools, as well as the creation of new legal frameworks that can effectively address infringement in the digital age. Ultimately, it will be important for copyright law to keep pace with technological developments to ensure that creators can continue to protect and benefit from their works in the face of these new challenges.

Challenges for Copyright Enforcement

The sheer volume and complexity of AI-generated content poses a significant challenge for traditional copyright enforcement mechanisms.[43] The rapid pace at which AI systems can produce content, combined with the difficulty in determining authorship and ownership, makes it difficult for copyright holders to keep track of potential infringements. As a result, there is a growing need for new and innovative approaches to copyright enforcement that can keep up with the pace of AI-generated content.

One potential solution is the use of automated takedown systems, which can quickly identify and remove infringing content.[38] These systems can be trained using machine learning algorithms to recognize patterns and identify potentially infringing content, allowing them to take action faster and more accurately than human reviewers.[32]

Another approach is the use of AI-powered infringement detection tools, which can scan the internet and other sources for potential infringements.[4] These tools can be trained to recognize specific patterns and characteristics of copyrighted works, allowing them to identify potential infringements even if they have been modified or altered by AI systems.

However, there are also concerns about the accuracy and potential misuse of automated takedown systems and AI-powered infringement detection tools.[44] These tools may inadvertently remove or flag legitimate content, and there is a risk that they could be used to censor or suppress speech.

As AI-generated content continues to grow in volume and complexity, it is clear that traditional copyright enforcement mechanisms will need to evolve. It is important that any new approaches to copyright enforcement are carefully designed and implemented to avoid unintended consequences and protect the rights of all stakeholders.

The infamous *Naruto* v *Slater*[45] case involving a monkey taking a selfie using a photographer's camera set a precedent that animals cannot own copyright. However, this case did not address AI-generated content specifically. With the rise of generative AI, several lawsuits have emerged.

Microsoft, GitHub, and OpenAI are facing a copyright violation lawsuit for Copilot, an AI system that allegedly used licensed code snippets without attribution. Two AI art tool companies, Midjourney and Stability AI, are also facing legal action for allegedly infringing on artists' rights by training their tools on scraped images.[46]

Additionally, Getty Images sued Stability AI for using millions of its images without permission to train an AI art-generating tool. These cases highlight the tendency of generative AI to replicate copyrighted content and other data used to train it, as seen in recent examples of AI tools that plagiarized articles and replicated aspects of their training data.[47]

Overall, the impact of content generated using AI on the future of copyright law is likely to be significant and far-reaching. As AI technology continues to expand, it will be important for lawmakers and legal experts to stay abreast of these developments and adapt copyright law accordingly.

Conclusion

The rise of AI-generated content presents a host of legal challenges related to copyright law. Determining authorship, ownership, and liability can be complex in cases involving content generated using AI, and there is a need for clear legal frameworks to address these issues.To address the challenges posed by AI-generated content, there are several recommendations that can be made. First, there is a need for clear

guidelines on the copyrightability of AI-generated content. This can help to ensure that creators of such content are appropriately compensated for their work and that consumers have a clear understanding of what they are legally allowed to do with such content.

Second, there is a need for greater transparency and accountability in the development and deployment of AI systems that generate content. This can help to ensure that these systems are not used to infringe on the copyrights of others and that their outputs are clearly attributable to their creators.Finally, there is a need for ongoing legal and policy discussions around the copyright implications of AI-generated content. This can help to ensure that the legal frameworks governing these issues remain up-to-date and reflective of the rapidly evolving technological landscape.By taking these steps, it may be possible to develop legal frameworks that effectively balance the interests of creators, consumers, and other stakeholders in the context of AI-generated content.

References

1 Anantrasirichai N & Bull D, Artificial Intelligence in the creative industries: A review, *Artificial Intelligence Review*, 55 (1) (2022) 589.

2 Warrier V S, Public interest issues in copyright, *The Lex-Warrier: Online Law Journal,* 9 (3) (2018) 97.

3 Forrest K B, Copyright law and Artificial Intelligence: Emerging issues, *Journal of Copyright Soc'y USA*, 65 (2018) 355.

4 Lund B D, Wang T, Mannuru N R, Nie B, Shimray S & Wang, Z, ChatGPT and a new academic reality: Artificial Intelligence-written research papers and the ethics of the large language models in scholarly publishing, *Journal of the Association for Information Science & Technology*, 74 (5) (2023) 570.

5 Crawford K & Paglen T, Excavating AI: The politics of images in machine learning training sets, *AI& Society*, 36 (4) (2021) 1105.

6 Castiglioni I, Rundo L, Codari M, Di Leo G, Salvatore C, Interlenghi M&Sardanelli F, AI applications to medical images: From machine learning to deep learning, *PhysicaMedica*, 83 (2021) 9.

7 Binkhonain M & Zhao L, A review of machine learning algorithms for identification and classification of non-functional requirements, *Expert Systems with Applications: X*, 1 (2019) 100001.

8 Sarker I H, Machine learning: Algorithms, real-world applications and research directions, *SN Computer Science*, 2(3) (2021) 160.

9 Hacke P, Engel A & Mauer M, Regulating ChatGPT and other large generative AI models, *arXiv preprint arXiv:*2302.02337, 2023.

10 Shu X & Ye Y, Knowledge discovery: Methods from data mining and machine learning, *Social Science Research*, 110 (2023) 102817.

11 Kalpokiene J & Kalpokas I, Creative encounters of a posthuman kind–Anthropocentric Law, artificial intelligence and art, *Technology in Society*, 72 (C) (2023) 102197.

12 Jodha D & Bera P, Copyright issues in the era of Ai-A critical analysis, *Res Militaris*, 13 (3) (2023) 1737.

13 Miernicki M & Ng I, Artificial intelligence and moral rights, *AI & SOCIETY*, 36 (2021) 319.

14 Dornis T W, Artificial creativity: Emergent works and the void in current copyright doctrine, *Yale Journal of Law & Technology,* 22 (2020) 1.

15 Bouschery S G, Blazevic V & Piller F T, Augmenting human innovation teams with artificial intelligence: Exploring transformer-based language models, *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 40 (2)(2023) 139.

16 Selvadurai N & Matulionyte R, Reconsidering creativity: Copyright protection for works generated using artificial intelligence, *Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice*, 15 (7) (2020) 536.

17 Kucukali B T, The protection of AI-generated works under European copyright law: Toward adoption of a neighbouring rights approach, *Doctoral Dissertation*, University of British Columbia, 2022.

18 Dwivedi Y K, Kshetri N, Hughes L, Slade E L, Jeyaraj A, Kar A K & Wright R, "So, what if ChatGPT wrote it?" Multidisciplinary perspectives on opportunities, challenges and implications of generative conversational AI for research, practice and policy, *International Journal of Information Management*, 71(2023) 102642.

19 Dwivedi Y K, Hughes L, Ismagilova E, Aarts G, Coombs C, Crick T & Williams M D, Artificial intelligence (AI): Multidisciplinary perspectives on emerging challenges, opportunities, and agenda for research, practice and policy, *International Journal of Information Management*, 57 (2021) 101994.

20 Shin D, User perceptions of algorithmic decisions in the personalized AI system: Perceptual evaluation of fairness, accountability, transparency, and explainability, *Journal of Broadcasting & Electronic Media*, 64 (4)(2020)541.

21 Kasdan M & Pattengale B A, The once thought far-off-in-the-future challenges to Copyright Law posed by artificial intelligence have arrived: And I for One—Gulp—Welcome our new robot overlords, *les Nouvelles-Journal of the Licensing Executives Society*, 58(1)2023.

22 Asay C D, Independent Creation in a World of AI, *FIU Law Review*, 14(2020) 201.

23 Hugenholtz P B & Quintais J P, Copyright and artificial creation: Does EU Copyright Law protect AI-assisted output? *IIC-International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law*, 52 (9) (2021) 1190.

24 Salami E, AI-generated works and copyright law: Towards a union of strange bedfellows, *Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice*, 16 (2) (2021) 124.

25 Hema K, Protection of artificial intelligence autonomously generated works under the Copyright Act, 1957- An analytical study, *Journal of Intellectual Property Rights*, 28(3) (2023)193.

26 *Eastern Book Co* v *DB Modak*, (2008) 1 SCC 1.

27 Härkönen H, Fashion piracy and artificial intelligence—Does the new creative environment come with new copyright issues? *Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice*, 15 (3) (2020) 163.

28 Aziz A, Artificial intelligence produced original work: A new approach to copyright protection and ownership, *European Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning*, 2 (2) (2023) 9.

29 Yau J A, Creation, commerce, conflict, & conscience: AI's disruption on existing IP frameworks in the United States and Canada, *UCLA Journal of Law& Technology*, 28 (2023) 1.

30 Denicola R C, Ex machina: Copyright protection for computer generated works, *Rutgers University Law Review*, 69 (2016) 251.

31 Osmanovic-Thunström A & Steingrimsson S, Does GPT-3 qualify as a co-author of a scientific paper publishable in peer-review journals according to the ICMJE criteria? A case study, *Discover Artificial Intelligence*, 3 (1) (2023)12.

32 Matulionyte R & Lee J A, Copyright in AI-generated works: Lessons from recent developments in patent law, *SCRIPTed*, 19 (2022) 5.

33 Bard J S, Developing legal framework for regulating emotion AI, *Boston University Journal of Science & Technology Law*, 27 (2021) 271.

34 Yu P K, Can algorithms promote fair use? *FIU Law Review*, 14 (2020) 329.

35 Gillotte J L, Copyright infringement in AI-generated artworks, *UC Davis Law Review*, 53 (2019) 2655.

36 Drott E, Copyright, compensation, and commons in the music AI industry, *Creative Industries Journal*, 14 (2) (2021) 190.

37 Geiger C & Izyumenko E, Towards a European" Fair Use" Grounded in Freedom of Expression, *American University International Law Review*, 35 (1) (2019).

38 Franceschelli G & Musolesi M, Copyright in generative deep learning, *Data & Policy*, 4e17 (2022).

39 Mathur A, A Reflection upon the Digital Copyright Laws in India, *Journal of Intellectual Property Rights*, 25 (1-2) (2022) 5.

40 Gorwa R, Binns R & Katzenbach C, Algorithmic content moderation: Technical and political challenges in the automation of platform governance, *Big Data & Society*, 7 (1) (2020) 2053951719897945.

41 Bennett B & Daly A, Recognising rights for robots: Can we? Will we? Should we? *Law, Innovation and Technology*, 12 (1) (2020) 60-80.

42 Pagallo U, Corrales M, Fenwick M & Forgó N, The rise of robotics & AI: Technological advances & normative dilemmas, *Robotics, AI and the Future of Law*, 2018,1.

43 Sun H, Redesigning copyright protection in the era of artificial intelligence, *Iowa Law Review*, 107 (2021) 1213.

44 Katzenbach C, "AI will fix this"–The technical, discursive, and political turn to AI in governing communication, *Big Data & Society*, 8 (2) (2021) 20539517211046182.

45 888 F.3d 418 (9th Cir. 2018).

46 Appel G, Neelbauer J & Schweidel D A, Generative AI has an intellectual property problem, *Harvard Business Review*, 2023,https://hbr.org/2023/04/generative-ai-has-an-intellectual-property-problem, (accessed on 28 April 2023).

47 Wiggers K, The current legal cases against generative AI are just the beginning, *TechCrunch,* 2023, <https://techcrunch.com/2023/01/27/the-current-legal-cases-against-generative-ai-are-just-the-beginning/> (accessed on 28 April 2023).