e-ISSN No.: 2582-4228

Journal of Indian Association for Environmental Management

Journal homepage: www.http://op.niscair.res.in/index/php/JIAEM/index

Aspects of Indoor Air Quality in the Design for a Healthy Building

Bindu[1], Tejwant Singh Brar[2], Mohammad Arif Kamal[3]

[1] Research Scholar, [2] Senior Professor, [3] Architect and Academician, School of Art and Architecture, Sushant University, Gurugram
*Corresponding Author: bindudhanda08@gmail.com

Submitted: 11 March 2026 Revised: 07 June 2026 Accepted: 11 June 2026

***Abstract*: Indoor Air Quality (IAQ) is a fundamental component of healthy building design, directly influencing occupant health, comfort, and productivity. This research paper reviews and analyzes the key factors affecting IAQ, including ventilation system performance, building material emissions, occupant activities, and regulatory standards. The study emphasizes the importance of integrating IAQ considerations at the planning and design stages of buildings rather than relying solely on post-construction mitigation. Advanced modelling tools such as Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) are examined for their role in predicting airflow patterns and pollutant dispersion within indoor environments. The review also highlights major challenges, including variability of indoor pollutant sources, lack of uniform IAQ standards, and limitations in conventional ventilation strategies under dynamic occupancy conditions. Furthermore, the study identifies emerging approaches such as sensor-based monitoring, smart ventilation systems, and occupant-centric design frameworks that aim to improve indoor environmental quality. The findings suggest that a multidisciplinary approach combining engineering, environmental science, and public health is essential for developing sustainable and healthy building environments. The paper concludes by outlining future research directions focused on adaptive systems, real-time IAQ monitoring, and improved integration of environmental modelling in building design practices.**

Keywords: Indoor Air Quality (IAQ), Healthy Buildings, Ventilation Systems, Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs), CFD, HVAC, Green Buildings

I. INTRODUCTION

Indoor Air Quality (IAQ) has emerged as a critical factor in the development of sustainable and healthy building environments due to its direct influence on occupant health, comfort, and productivity [1]. People spend nearly 90% of their time indoors, making indoor environmental quality an essential aspect of public health and building design [2]. Modern buildings are increasingly airtight because of energy conservation measures, which often reduce natural ventilation and lead to the accumulation of indoor pollutants such as particulate matter (PM), volatile organic compounds (VOCs), carbon dioxide (CO_2), and biological contaminants [3].

Green building frameworks and sustainability rating systems such as LEED and GRIHA have attempted to incorporate IAQ considerations into building assessment practices. However, many existing approaches focus primarily on energy efficiency and environmental performance while inadequately addressing long-term indoor pollutant exposure and occupant health outcomes [4].

This paper reviews the major factors influencing IAQ, including building materials, ventilation systems, occupant behavior, construction practices, and regulatory frameworks. It also examines the role of Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) in predicting airflow patterns and pollutant dispersion in indoor spaces. The primary objective of this study is to critically evaluate current IAQ research and identify gaps in prevailing design and operational strategies. The paper further proposes recommendations for healthier and more resilient indoor environments through integrated and multidisciplinary approaches.

Historical Background

Early research on indoor air quality focused primarily on infectious disease transmission and the role of ventilation in controlling airborne pathogens [5]. During the nineteenth and early twentieth centuries, building ventilation was considered essential for maintaining healthy indoor conditions in hospitals, schools, and residential buildings.

Following the energy crises of the 1970s, building envelopes became increasingly sealed to improve energy efficiency. While this approach reduced energy consumption, it also resulted in reduced ventilation rates and greater accumulation of indoor pollutants [6]. Consequently, concerns related to Sick Building Syndrome (SBS) and Building-Related Illnesses (BRI) became prominent.

Recent IAQ research has expanded to include chemical emissions from synthetic building materials, occupant sensitivity, long-term exposure risks, and smart environmental monitoring systems [7]. The development of advanced simulation tools such as CFD has further enabled researchers and designers to analyze airflow distribution and pollutant transport under complex indoor conditions.

Factors Affecting Indoor Air Quality

Indoor Air Quality is influenced by a combination of environmental, technical, demographic, and socio-psychological factors. Increased public awareness regarding environmental health has intensified the demand for healthier indoor spaces [8]. Aging populations and the growing prevalence of respiratory illnesses have further heightened concerns regarding indoor pollutants and allergen exposure.

Key IAQ parameters include particulate matter (PM2.5 and PM10), carbon dioxide concentration, relative humidity, temperature, VOCs, formaldehyde, biological contaminants, and air exchange rates [9]. Occupant activities such as cooking, smoking, cleaning, and equipment operation significantly contribute to indoor pollutant levels.

Regulatory standards and building codes also influence IAQ practices, although these standards vary across countries and organizations. Psychological perception of air freshness and thermal comfort additionally affects occupant satisfaction and building evaluation.

The interaction among these factors demonstrates that IAQ is not solely a technical concern but also a socio-environmental issue requiring multidisciplinary solutions.

Evolution of Ventilation Design Philosophy

Ventilation design philosophy has evolved from conventional dilution-based systems toward adaptive and performance-driven strategies [10]. Early ventilation systems focused primarily on supplying sufficient outdoor air to dilute contaminants without considering airflow patterns or localized pollutant exposure.

Modern ventilation approaches include displacement ventilation, personalized ventilation, mixed-mode ventilation, and demand-controlled ventilation systems [11]. Displacement ventilation introduces fresh air at lower velocities near the floor level, promoting stratified airflow and efficient contaminant removal. Personalized ventilation systems provide conditioned air directly to occupants, improving thermal comfort and reducing exposure to pollutants.

Natural ventilation strategies have also regained significance due to increasing concerns regarding energy efficiency and climate-responsive architecture. Building orientation, façade design, courtyards, and stack ventilation systems are now integrated into architectural planning to improve indoor environmental quality [12].

Recent developments involve smart ventilation systems integrated with sensor-based monitoring technologies capable of adjusting airflow rates dynamically according to occupancy and pollutant concentrations [13]. These systems support both energy conservation and occupant health objectives.

Building Materials and Pollutant Sources

Building materials are major contributors to indoor air pollution due to continuous emission of chemical compounds during and after construction [14]. Materials such as carpets, paints, adhesives, sealants, engineered wood products, and synthetic flooring release VOCs and formaldehyde into indoor environments.

These emissions are particularly high in newly constructed or renovated buildings and may persist for extended periods depending on ventilation conditions and material composition [15]. Indoor surfaces may also absorb pollutants temporarily and re-emit them later through sorption and desorption processes.

TABLE 1

Major Indoor Pollutant Sources from Building Materials

Building Material / Source	Common Emissions	IAQ Impact
Carpets & rugs	VOCs, formaldehyde	Long-term off-gassing, odor issues
Paints & coatings	Benzene, toluene, xylene	Short-term high concentration exposure
Adhesives & sealants	Formaldehyde, VOC mixtures	Persistent emissions in enclosed spaces
Furniture (pressed wood)	Formaldehyde	Chronic indoor exposure risk
Flooring materials	Plasticizers, VOCs	Continuous low-level emissions

The long-term IAQ performance of buildings depends significantly on low-emission material selection and sustainable construction practices.

Construction Process and IAQ Impact

Construction activities play a significant role in determining the final IAQ performance of buildings [16]. Poor coordination between designers, contractors, and subcontractors may lead to deviations from intended IAQ specifications.

Dust generation, moisture intrusion, improper HVAC installation, and material substitutions during construction can contribute to long-term indoor pollution problems [17]. Construction contaminants may become embedded in building finishes or HVAC systems if preventive measures are not implemented.

TABLE 2

Construction Phase Issues Affecting IAQ

Construction Issue	Cause	IAQ Impact	Possible Mitigation
Design-build fragmentation	Poor coordination between stakeholders	Inconsistent IAQ implementation	Integrated project delivery
Subcontracting variability	Multiple contractors with differing standards	Material substitution, quality loss	Strict specification compliance
HVAC installation errors	Poor supervision or skill gaps	Reduced ventilation efficiency	QA/QC inspection and testing
Dust and particulate generation	Construction activities	Short-term high pollution levels	Dust control measures
Material substitutions	Cost reduction strategies	Increased VOC emissions	Approved material lists

Maintaining proper IAQ during construction requires strict quality assurance, coordination, and adherence to material and ventilation specifications.

Regulatory Framework

The regulatory framework governing IAQ is distributed among multiple international and national agencies [18]. Organizations such as the World Health Organization (WHO), Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), and American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers (ASHRAE) provide guidelines related to pollutant exposure and ventilation requirements.

However, the absence of a unified global IAQ standard creates inconsistencies in implementation and enforcement. Different countries adopt varying pollutant thresholds, ventilation criteria, and monitoring protocols.

Indian standards such as the National Building Code (NBC), Energy Conservation Building Code (ECBC), and Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB) guidelines are increasingly incorporating IAQ-related provisions for sustainable building development [19].

TABLE 3

Major IAQ Regulatory Bodies and their Focus

Organization	Primary Role	IAQ Focus Areas
WHO	Global health guidelines	Air pollutant exposure limits
EPA	Environmental protection	Indoor pollutant control
ASHRAE	Engineering standards	Ventilation and HVAC guidelines
OSHA	Workplace safety	Occupational exposure limits
CPCB	Environmental regulation in India	Air quality standards

The fragmented regulatory landscape highlights the need for more integrated and performance-based IAQ standards.

Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) in IAQ

Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) has become an important analytical tool for evaluating airflow distribution, temperature variation, and pollutant dispersion within indoor environments [20]. CFD models solve governing fluid flow equations numerically and provide detailed spatial understanding of indoor air behavior.

CFD simulations are extensively used in hospitals, office buildings, classrooms, and commercial spaces to optimize ventilation system performance and minimize contaminant exposure [21]. These models support evaluation of ventilation effectiveness under varying occupancy conditions and architectural configurations.

Despite their advantages, CFD models face several limitations. High computational cost, dependence on turbulence models, and uncertainty in boundary conditions may reduce prediction accuracy [22]. Furthermore, validation through experimental measurements is often challenging in real building environments.

Nevertheless, CFD remains a valuable design and research tool when appropriately calibrated and validated.

Critical Analysis and Research Gaps

Current IAQ research remains fragmented, with limited integration between building materials, ventilation systems, occupant exposure assessment, and environmental monitoring technologies [23]. Existing standards generally focus on isolated pollutants or ventilation rates rather than comprehensive indoor environmental performance.

CFD models require improved validation using real-world field measurements to increase their practical reliability. In addition, occupant-specific ventilation strategies accounting for age, health conditions, and behavioral patterns remain underdeveloped.

Another major research gap is the absence of unified IAQ performance indices capable of evaluating chemical, physical, and biological aspects simultaneously [24]. Emerging smart building technologies offer opportunities for adaptive and data-driven IAQ management; however, their implementation in mainstream building practice remains limited.

Recommendations

Future building design should prioritize sensor-based adaptive ventilation systems capable of responding dynamically to real-time indoor environmental conditions [25]. The use of low-emission construction materials should be enforced during design, procurement, and construction phases.

Predictive IAQ modelling techniques should also be integrated into early-stage architectural design to evaluate airflow patterns, pollutant distribution, and occupant exposure scenarios before construction.

Regulatory frameworks should transition from prescriptive ventilation requirements toward performance-based IAQ standards focused on measurable health outcomes. Interdisciplinary collaboration among architects, engineers, environmental scientists, and public health experts is essential for developing resilient and healthy buildings.

II. Conclusion

Indoor Air Quality is a fundamental component of healthy and sustainable building design. Despite significant advancements in ventilation technologies, environmental modelling, and IAQ monitoring systems, substantial challenges remain in integrating material control, construction quality, ventilation performance, and occupant-centered design strategies.

Fragmented regulations, insufficient coordination between project stakeholders, and lack of unified IAQ assessment frameworks continue to limit effective implementation of healthy building practices. Future research should focus on adaptive ventilation systems, real-time environmental monitoring, predictive modelling, and climate-responsive healthy building strategies.

A multidisciplinary approach integrating architecture, environmental engineering, public health, and smart technologies is essential for achieving healthier indoor environments and sustainable built forms.

III. References

W. J. Fisk, "Health and productivity gains from better indoor environments and their relationship with building energy efficiency," *Annual Review of Energy and the Environment*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 537–566, 2000.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, "Report on the Environment: Indoor Air Quality," EPA, Washington, DC, USA, 2023.

P. Wolkoff, "Indoor air pollutants in office environments: Assessment of comfort, health, and performance," *International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health*, vol. 216, no. 4, pp. 371–394, 2013.

J. Allen and J. MacNaughton, *Healthy Buildings: How Indoor Spaces Drive Performance and Productivity*, Cambridge, MA, USA: Harvard University Press, 2020.

P. O. Fanger, "Introduction of the olf and decipol units to quantify air pollution perceived by humans indoors and outdoors," *Energy and Buildings*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 1–6, 1988.

ASHRAE, *ASHRAE Standard 62.1: Ventilation for Acceptable Indoor Air Quality*, Atlanta, GA, USA: ASHRAE, 2022.

Y. Zhang and P. Wargocki, "Understanding human responses to indoor air quality," *Building and Environment*, vol. 91, pp. 1–2, 2015.

World Health Organization, *WHO Guidelines for Indoor Air Quality*, Copenhagen, Denmark: WHO Regional Office for Europe, 2010.

H. Awbi, *Ventilation of Buildings*, 3rd ed. London, U.K.: Routledge, 2017.

P. Wargocki and D. P. Wyon, "The effects of outdoor air supply rate and supply air filter condition in classrooms on the performance of schoolwork by children," *HVAC&R Research*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 165–191, 2007.

Q. Chen, "Ventilation performance prediction for buildings: A method overview and recent applications," *Building and Environment*, vol. 44, no. 4, pp. 848–858, 2009.

B. Givoni, *Climate Considerations in Building and Urban Design*, New York, NY, USA: Wiley, 1998.

S. Wang and Z. Ma, "Supervisory and optimal control of building HVAC systems: A review," *HVAC&R Research*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 3–32, 2008.

T. Salthammer, S. Mentese, and R. Marutzky, "Formaldehyde in the indoor environment," *Chemical Reviews*, vol. 110, no. 4, pp. 2536–2572, 2010.

C. Yu and D. Crump, "A review of the emission of VOCs from polymeric materials used in buildings," *Building and Environment*, vol. 33, no. 6, pp. 357–374, 1998.

N. Leung, "Indoor-air quality and ventilation in green buildings," *Advanced Materials Research*, vols. 689–693, pp. 145–148, 2013.

SMACNA, *IAQ Guidelines for Occupied Buildings Under Construction*, Chantilly, VA, USA: Sheet Metal and Air Conditioning Contractors' National Association, 2007.

OSHA, "Indoor Air Quality in Commercial and Institutional Buildings," Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Washington, DC, USA, 2022.

Bureau of Indian Standards, *National Building Code of India 2016*, New Delhi, India: BIS, 2016.

Z. Zhai and Q. Chen, "Performance of coupled building energy and CFD simulations," *Energy and Buildings*, vol. 37, no. 4, pp. 333–344, 2005.

J. Nielsen, "Control of airborne infectious diseases in ventilated spaces," *Journal of the Royal Society Interface*, vol. 6, suppl. 6, pp. S747–S755, 2009.

Q. Chen and L. Wang, "The needed balance between accuracy and simplicity in building ventilation models," *Energy and Buildings*, vol. 41, no. 8, pp. 836–846, 2009.

W. Nazaroff, "Indoor bioaerosol dynamics," *Indoor Air*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 61–78, 2016.

P. Blondeau et al., "Relationship between outdoor and indoor air quality in schools," *Indoor Air*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 2–12, 2005.

J. Spengler and K. Sexton, "Indoor air pollution: A public health perspective," *Science*, vol. 221, no. 4605, pp. 9–17, 1983.